

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Palent and Trademark Office Address COMM SSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.busto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,433	10/23/2000	Andrew Read	TRANS59	3072
7590 02/21/2007 WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP TWO NORTH MARKET STREET			EXAMINER	
			CAO, CHUN	
THIRD FLOOR SAN JOSE, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
57111 30013, 671	<i>73113</i>		2115	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			02/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination			
	09/694,433	READ ET AL.			
	0 <i>01</i> 004,400	Art Unit			
. 1991 9949 1949 9449 1941 9191 9194 1195 111 1941	Thomas Lee	2115			
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC				
		ppeal Brief Review			
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Review filed 1/4	<u>/2007</u> .			
 Improper Request – The Reqresson(s): 	uest is improper and a confere	nce will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request.					
	e reasons why a review is approcluded with the Pre-Appeal Bri				
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm		ipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from all has been received.			
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice.	appeal because there is at least in accordance with 37 CFR 41 commailing this decision, or the e of appeal, whichever is greate CFR 1.136 based upon the mail	A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been st one actual issue for appeal. Applicant .37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period er. Further, the time period for filing of the date of this decision or the receipt date			
The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	the status of the claim(s) is as f	ollows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
	•				
All participants:		T VICE A TO			
(1) <u>Thomas Lee</u> .	(3) <u>Lynne B</u>	Prowne.			
(2) <u>Chun Cao</u> .	(4)	Account to the second of the s			